

A comment on W. Greiner's "Quantum Mechanics – An Introduction"

J. -M. Chung

*Research Institute for Basic Sciences and Department of Physics,
Kyung Hee University, Seoul 130-701, Korea*

Abstract

It is pointed out that in Greiner's book, "Quantum Mechanics –An Introduction," there is a confusion between the wavelength spectral function and the (angular) frequency spectral function in the derivation of the Wien's displacement law.

In his book, Quantum Mechanics – An Introduction [1], Greiner derives Wien's displacement law from Planck's spectral energy density distribution. In his derivation, there is a mistake due to a confusion between the wavelength spectral function and the (angular) frequency spectral function. For convenience of discussion, here we quote the problem posed and a part of the solution to it.

Problem. Derive Wien's displacement law, i.e.,

$$\lambda_{\max} T = \text{const.}$$

from Planck's spectral energy density $\frac{1}{V} dE/d\omega$. Here $\lambda_{\max}$ is the wavelength where $\frac{1}{V} dE/d\omega$ achieves its maximum. Interpret the result.

Solution. We are looking for the maximum of the Planck's spectral distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[\frac{1}{V} \frac{dE}{d\omega} \right] &= \frac{d}{d\omega} \left[\frac{\hbar\omega^3}{\pi^2 c^3} \left(\exp\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}\right) - 1 \right)^{-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{3\hbar\omega^2}{\pi^2 c^3} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{\hbar\omega^3}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{\hbar}{k_B T} \frac{\exp(\hbar\omega/k_B T)}{[\exp(\hbar\omega/k_B T) - 1]^2} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow 3 - \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T} \exp\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}\right) \left[\exp\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

With the shorthand notation $x = \frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}$, we get the transcendental equation

$$e^x = \left(1 - \frac{x}{3}\right)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

which must be solved graphically or numerically. Besides the trivial solution $x = 0$ (minimum), a positive solution exists. Therefore

$$x_{\max} = \frac{\hbar\omega_{\max}}{k_B T}, \quad (3)$$

and because $\boxed{\omega_{\max} = 2\pi\nu_{\max} = 2\pi c/\lambda_{\max}}$ we have

$$\lambda_{\max} T = \text{const.} = 0.29 \text{ cm K}. \quad (4)$$

This means

The Planck's spectral distribution used in the above solution

$$\frac{1}{V} \frac{dE(\omega, T)}{d\omega} = \frac{\hbar\omega^3}{\pi^2 c^3} \left[\exp\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1} \equiv \tilde{u}(\omega, T) \quad (5)$$

is the angular frequency spectral function. The wavelength and frequency spectral functions take the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} u(\lambda, T) &= \frac{8\pi hc}{\lambda^5} \left[\exp\left(\frac{ch}{k_B \lambda T}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1}, \\ \hat{u}(\nu, T) &= \frac{8\pi h\nu^3}{c^3} \left[\exp\left(\frac{h\nu}{k_B T}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Physically, these three spectral functions are related by the following equation:

$$u(\lambda, T) d\lambda = -\hat{u}(\nu, T) d\nu = -\tilde{u}(\omega, T) d\omega.$$

Let us define λ_M , ν_M , and ω_M as the wavelength, frequency, and angular frequency at which $u(\lambda, T)$, $\hat{u}(\nu, T)$, and $\tilde{u}(\omega, T)$ have their maximum value. (At this stage, it is very clear that the ' $\omega_{\max}$ ' in Eq. (3) is the same as ω_M .) For λ_M , one must solve the following transcendental equation

$$e^x = \left(1 - \frac{x}{5}\right)^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

with $x = \frac{ch}{k_B \lambda T}$. The equation to solve for $\nu_{\max}$ is the same as the one in Eq. (2), this time, with $x = \frac{h\nu}{k_B T}$. The solutions to Eqs. (2) and (7) (x_1 and x_2 , respectively) are given as follows:

$$x_1 = 2.281 \dots, \quad (8)$$

$$x_2 = 4.965 \dots. \quad (9)$$

Eqs. (8) and (9) yield

$$\frac{2\pi c T}{\omega_M} = \frac{c T}{\nu_M} = \frac{ch}{k_B x_1} = 0.510 \text{ cm K} ,$$

$$\lambda_M T = \frac{ch}{k_B x_2} = 0.289 \text{ cm K} .$$

Thus, we have

$$\omega_M = 2\pi\nu_M = \frac{2.281}{4.965} \times 2\pi c / \lambda_M .$$

If the ‘ $\lambda_{\max}$ ’ in the underlined sentence (in the quoted problem) is defined as in the boxed equation above Eq. (4), in conformity with the following general relation:

$$\omega = 2\pi\nu = 2\pi c / \lambda ,$$

then, we have

$$\lambda_{\max} T = 2.177 \lambda_M T = 0.629 \text{ cm K} , \tag{10}$$

which differs from Eq. (4).

In conclusion, if the author of [1] insists that the ‘ $\lambda_{\max}$ ’ in Eq. (4) be the wavelength at which the wavelength spectral function $u(\lambda, T)$ achieves its maximum, in order to keep the number 0.29 on the right-hand side of Eq. (4) as usual [2], then the wavelength spectral function $u(\lambda, T)$ of Eq. (6), instead of the (angular) frequency spectral function, should be used.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Greiner, *Quantum Mechanics — An Introduction*, 4th ed. (Springer, Berlin, 2001), p. 24.
- [2] For example, R. L. Liboff, *Introductory Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd. ed. (Addison-Wesley, 1998), Problem 2.4 on p. 35.